COMP70053: Introduction to Machine Learning Notes

1 The Big Picture

- AI aims to act and think both *humanly* and *rationally*.
- A computer program learns from experience E in some class of tasks T with performance measure P, if its performance (measured by P on tasks in T) improves with experience E.
- Three main settings:
 - 1. Supervised learning
 - input variables have correct output labels attached.
- 2. Unsupervised learning
 - $\circ~$ input variables have no labels attached.
 - $\circ~$ aim to discover hidden/latent structures within the data.
 - $\circ~$ e.g. clustering, dimensionality reduction.

3. Reinforcement Learning

- $\circ~$ input variables have no labels attached, but the environment returns a reward signal for each action.
- **policy search**: finding which action will maximise reward depending on the agent's current state.
- e.g. video game AI, robotics.
- Other settings:
 - 1. Semi-supervised learning
 - $\,\circ\,$ some data have labels, some do not
 - 2. Weakly-supervised learning
 - \circ inexact output labels
 - $\circ~$ e.g. there is an umbrella in the photo, find it
- Two most popular ML tasks:

	Classification	Regression	
Output	discrete/categorical	real-valued/continuous	
Used for	classifying data under one or more labels	predicting a quantity re- lated to the data	
Variants	binary, multi-class, multi- label	simple, multiple, multi- variate	

• Two kinds of ML algorithms

Lazy Learner	Eager Learner
Stores the training examples and	Constructs a general, explicit de-
postpones Fising beyond these	scription of the target function
data until an explicit request is	based on the provided training ex-
made at test time.	amples.

The supervised learning pipeline: The bias-variance trade-off:



• Feature encoding: convert raw feature values to machine-friendly format (typically normalised between values: 0-1)

1

• Curse of dimensionality: higher dimension data leads to: *increased* computational complexity, data sparsity, overfitting.

2 K-Nearest Neighbours and Decision Trees

• Nearest Neighbour classifier: classify a test instance to the class label of the nearest training instance (acc to some distance metric).

• k-Nearest Neighbours (kNN) classifier

- $\circ\,$ classify based on the class label with the greatest weighted average amongst the k nearest neighbours.
- $\circ~k$ is usually an odd number to avoid equally weighted labels.
- $\circ k$ must be chosen appropriately with a validation set.

Choice of k	Too Low	Too High
Noise sensitivity	too high	too low
Quality of fit	will overfit	will underfit

- $\circ~({\bf distance~weighted})$ assign weights $w^{(i)}$ to each neighbour based on proximity and choose the class with the largest weighted sum.
- $\circ~$ simple, powerful, but slow for large datasets (curse of dimensionality)
- **Decision Tree Learning**: a method for approximating discrete classification functions by means of a tree-based representation.

 $\circ~$ General algorithm:

- 1. Search for an 'optimal' splitting rule on training data.
- 2. Split dataset according to chosen splitting rule.
- 3. Repeat 1 and 2 on each new split subset.
- Entropy: a measure of the *uncertainty* of a random variable; the *average* amount of information:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{k}^{K} P(x_k) \log_2 \left(P(x_k) \right)$$

• Information gain: the difference between the initial entropy and the weighted average entropy of the produced subsets.

$$IG(D, subsets) = H(D) - \sum_{S \in subsets} \frac{|S|}{|dataset|} H(S)$$

• Types of inputs

	Ordered	Categorical
Split	compare value with number (e.g. $X < 10$)	split based on all possible labels of a feature
Tree	binary	multiway
Process	for each feature, sort its values and consider split points be- tween two examples with dif- ferent class labels	search for most infor- mative feature and split based off that
Other	can split on a single feature more than once	guaranteed to split on a single feature at most once

• Dealing with **overfitting**:

- Early stopping (with max tree depth or min examples per leaf)
- Pruning (with a validation set)

• The **pruning** process:

- 1. Go through each node only connected to leaf nodes.
- 2. Turn each into a leaf node (with majority class label).
- 3. Evaluate pruned tree on validation set.
- 4. Keep tree pruned if accuracy is higher else, revert.
- 5. Repeat until all nodes have been tested.
- **Random Forests**: model with many decision trees voting on the class label; each tree is trained on a random sample of the training set and a random subset of features.

3 Machine Learning Evaluation

• Hyperparameters (HP): model parameters chosen before training.

• Hyperparameter tuning

- find HP values that lead to the best performance.
- split dataset into: training/validation/test (usually 60%/20%/20%)
- try different HP values on *training set*, choose one with best accuracy on *validation set*, and perform final evaluation on *test set*.

• General rules to follow

- Evaluate the model on a held-out (test) dataset.
- $\circ~$ The test dataset should not be used to train nor validate the model.
- Assume labels of the test set are only provided after training.

• Cross-Validation (CV)

- $\circ\,$ procedure:
- 1. divide the dataset into k (usually 10) equal folds; use k-1 for training/validation and one for testing.
- 2. iterate k times, each time with a different test set
- 3. evaluate average performance across the folds
- $\circ~$ for parameter tuning, either:
- * Run CV using the validation set to select the best parameters, then choose the model with the best test set performance.
- * Run CV, where, at each fold, we run an internal CV across the k-1 folds to find optimal HPs, then choose the model with the best test set performance overall.
- $\circ~$ useful when the available dataset is small in size.

• Confusion matrix

	Class 1 Predicted	Class 2 Predicted
Class 1 Actual	TP: True Positive	FN: False Negative
Class 2 Actual	FP: False Positive	TN: True Negative

- **Precision** = $P(\text{positive} \mid \text{classified positive}) = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$
- **Recall** = $P(\text{classified positive} \mid \text{positive}) = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- one might be preferred over another depending on the application:
 - $\circ~$ high $\mathit{recall},$ low $\mathit{precision}:$ most of the positives are recognized, but there are many false positives.
 - $\circ~$ low recall, high precision: miss most positives, but those classified are truly positive.

$$F_1 = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{precision} + \frac{1}{recall}}, \ F_\beta = \frac{1 + \beta^2}{\frac{\beta^2}{precision} + \frac{1}{recall}}$$

- note: *precision*, *recall*, and *F1* are computed for each class separately.
- class-wide performance can be measured through:
 - $\circ~$ **Macro-averaging:** take average on the class level, i.e. find the average of each class' metrics.
 - **Micro-averaging**: take average on item level, i.e. sum up TP, FP, TN, FN from all classes, and calculate metrics altogether.
- If test set is imbalanced, either: **downsample** the majority class (choose a subset) or **upsample** the minority class (add duplicates).
- accuracy is misleadingly swayed by the majority class; macro-averaged recall knows nothing about FPs; F1 is affected by class imbalance.

```
• Confidence interval for error: err_s \pm z_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{err_s \cdot (1 - err_s)}{n}}
```

α	50	68	80	90	95	98	99
z_{lpha}	0.67	1.00	1.28	1.64	1.96	2.33	2.58

• **P-hacking**: misuse of data analysis to find statistically significant patterns when in truth no underlying effect exists.

4 Neural Networks I

• Linear regression

• finding the linear trend-line (and its corresponding parameters) that best describe data points across the feature space, e.g. $\hat{y} = ax + b$.

• loss function: $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2$

• **Gradient descent**: repeatedly update parameters a and b by taking small steps in the negative direction of the partial derivative.

$$a \coloneqq a - \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial a} = a - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) x^{(i)}$$
$$b \coloneqq b - \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial b} = b - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})$$

• as it is analytically solvable, no iterated solutions are necessary: $\theta^* = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ for $X = [x^{(i)} | 1.0], y = [y^{(i)}], \theta = [a, b]^T$; though not great for large problems (matrix inversion is $O(n^3)$).

• Artificial neuron

- $\,\circ\,$ inspired by biological neurons which receive and release signals.
- given inputs $x = [x_i]$, weights $W = [\theta_i]$, and activation function g, it returns an output of $\hat{y} = g(W^T x)$.
- can be extended to a **multilayer perceptron (MLP)** where neurons are connected in sequence to learn higher-order features.

• Perceptron

• an early version of an artificial neuron used for supervised binary classification, particularly for *linearly separable* functions.

• uses threshold function as an activation: $h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } W^T x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ with learning rule: $\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_i + \alpha(y - h(x))x_i$.

• Activation functions

	Linear	ReLU	Sigmoid	Tanh
g(x)	x	$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$	$\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$	tanh(x)
g'(x)	1	$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$	g(x)(1-g(x))	$1 - g(x)^2$
bounds	$(-\infty,\infty)$	$[0,\infty)$	[0, 1]	[-1, 1]

5 Neural Networks II

• Loss function

• useful for gradient descent if differentiable: $\theta_i^{t+1} = \theta_i^t - \alpha \cdot \partial E / \partial \theta_i^t$.

• mean squared error for regression: $MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2$.

• categorical cross-entropy for (multi-class) classification:

$$\begin{split} L &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}_c^{(i)}), \text{ where } C \coloneqq \text{set of classes and} \\ \hat{y}_c^{(i)} &\coloneqq \text{predicted probability of class } c \text{ for datapoint } i. \end{split}$$

• Backpropagation

- an iterative calculation for partial derivatives (gradients) used to update (and thus optimise) the weights of a neural network.
- the gradient for a node's weight can be expressed by gradients of those that come after it; these are iteratively fed to nodes backwards.

• given
$$\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Z}$$
, we can update weights and biases with $\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Loss} = \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Loss} = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial Loss} = \mathbf{V}^T \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Loss}$

$$\frac{\partial Doss}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial Doss}{\partial Z} \cdot \frac{\partial D}{\partial W} = X^{T} \frac{\partial Dos}{\partial Z}$$
$$\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Z} \cdot \frac{\partial Z}{\partial b} = \mathbf{1}^{T} \frac{\partial Los}{\partial Z}$$

and pass $\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Z} \cdot \frac{\partial Z}{\partial X}$ to the lower layer.

$$\circ \text{ given } \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial A}, \text{ we can find } \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Z} \text{ with:} \\ \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial Z} = \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial A} \circ g'(Z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial a_{1,1}} g'(z_{1,1}) & \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial a_{1,2}} g'(z_{1,2}) \\ \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial a_{2,1}} g'(z_{2,1}) & \frac{\partial Loss}{\partial a_{2,2}} g'(z_{2,2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• Gradient descent

• initialise weights randomly, compute gradient based on *whole data set*, and update weights; data sets are often too big for this.

- (stochastic) loop over each *data point*: compute gradient based on a data point and update weights; often noisy for single data point.
- (mini-batched) loop over *batches of data points*: compute gradient based on a batch and update weights; widely used in practice.
- Ways to **optimise** neural networks.
- $\circ\;$ tune, add a decay, or use an adaptive learning rate
- $\circ~$ initialise weights differently (zeros, normal, xavier glorot/uniform)
- o normalise data (to make weight updates proportional to the input)

* min-max normalisation:
$$X' = a + \frac{(X - X_{min})(b - a)}{X_{max} - X_{min}}$$

* standardisation: $X' = \frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$

- Finding the **best fit**
- $\circ~$ increase/reduce the network's ${\bf capacity}$ the number of neurons, layers, or parameters if the network is underfitting/overfitting.
- $\circ\,$ use a validation set to stop training early.
- (regularisation) add constraints to prevent model from overfitting.
- \circ (**dropout**) randomly set neural activations to zero during training

6 Unsupervised Learning

• **Clustering**: grouping instances (in some feature space) such that those in the same group are more similar than those in other groups.

• K-Means

- 1. **initialisation**: randomly select K training examples as centroids
- 2. assignment: assign each training example to the nearest centroid, i.e. for each $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$

$$x^{(i)} = \min_{k \in \{1, \dots, K\}} \|x^{(I) - \mu_k}\|^2$$

3. **update**: update position of each centroid to mean position of examples assigned to it, i.e. for each $k \in 1, ..., K$

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}(c^{(i)} = k) \cdot x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}(c^{(i)} = k)}$$

- 4. convergence check: stop if the position of centroids barely changed, i.e. if $\forall k | \mu_k^{(t)} \mu_k^{(t-1)} | < \epsilon$, else go to step two.
- An appropriate **choice** for K
- \circ elbow method: run K-means with different Ks; keep track of loss for each K; select K where rate of decrease sharply shifts.
- $\circ~\mathbf{CV}$ method: choose K with the best average CV performance.
- K-means is simple, popular, and efficient: O(iters · clusters · examples). However, a poor choice of K leads to local optimums sensitive to initial centroid positions, and it requires a distance function, is sensitive to outliers, and is not suitable for non-hyper-ellipsoid clusters.

• Probability density estimation

- $\circ~$ estimates the true PDF by which the data is distributed.
- **non-parametric methods** (no assumptions about form) include *histograms* and *kernel density estimators*.
- parametric methods (makes simplified assumptions about form).

• Gaussian distribution

- assume data is normally distributed and find MLEs $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$.
- \circ univariate

*
$$\mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

* $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{(i)} \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{(i)} \hat{\sigma}^2$

*
$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x^{(i)}, \ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x^{(i)} - \hat{\mu})^2.$$

 $\stackrel{\circ}{*} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{multivariate} \\ {*} \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \cdot \exp{\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)}. \end{array}$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x^{(i)}, \ \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}) (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu})^T$$

- Gaussian mixture models (GMM)
- \circ a weighted mixture of Gaussians; a "soft K-means clustering".
 - optimised using **Expectation-Maximisation (EM)**:
 - 1. initialisation: randomly initialise parameters
 - 2. **E-step**: compute responsibilities $r_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_j^K \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mu_j, \Sigma_j)}$.
 - 3. M-step: update mean μ̂_k = (∑^N_{i=1} r_{ik})⁻¹ ∑^N_{i=1} r_{ik}**x**⁽ⁱ⁾.
 4. convergence check: check for changes or likelihood stagnation.

7 Evolutionary Algorithms

• Evolutionary algorithms (EA)

- $\circ~$ an optimisation algorithm for $black\mbox{-}box$ functions (no gradient).
- 1. maintain a (randomly generated) **population** of solutions.
- 2. evaluate their phenotypes with a fitness function.
- 3. rank and select the **fittest** to start a new population.
- stop when: a specific fitness value reached, a pre-defined number of generations reached, or the best fitness in the population stagnates.

• governed by three main **operators**:

• selection

- * choose individuals to be parents in the next generation.
- * (biased roulette wheel) individuals have some probability p_i of being chosen from the population.
- * (tournament) two individuals are randomly selected, and the better of the two is chosen; repeat until there are enough parents.
- * (elitism) keep a fraction (10%) of the best individuals for the new generation; guarantees fitness does not decrease per generation.
 cross-over
- * combine the genotypes of the parents.
- * (single-point) a split point is randomly picked, and the offspring is formed by exchanging portions of the genotype.

• mutation

- * apply variations to solutions; explore nearby solutions.
- * (standard on binary strings) each bit is flipped with some probability *m*, often fixed to 1/|genotype|.

• $(\mu + \lambda)$ - ES

- 1. randomly generate a population of $(\mu + \lambda)$ individuals.
- 2. (evaluate and) select the best μ individuals as parents (x).
- 3. generate λ offsprings $y_i = x_j + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$, with $j = randi(\mu)$.
- 4. new population becomes the union of parents and offspring; go to (2).
- $(\mu + \lambda) \sigma$: too large: population moves quickly to solution but hard to refine; too small: population moves slowly and prone to local optima.

• Novelty search (NS)

• Quality diversity (QD)

• MAP-elites

optimise novelty rather than the quality of a solution; replace fitness evaluation with a search through the novelty archive.
o behavioural descriptor (BD) characterises aspects of solutions.

• aim to find collection of **diverse** and **performant** solutions, using

• **general framework**: stochastic selection, random mutation, evaluation, tentative addition to collection (either in grid or unstructured).

• **NS with local competition**: archive those outperforming kNN.

• solutions go to cells corresponding to their BD: if the cell is empty.

it is added; if the cell is occupied, keep one with the best fitness.

• diversity quantified with archive size, performance with

• **QD-score**: sum of the fitness of all solutions in the archive.

max/mean fitness; convergence speed is also of importantance.

both a behavoural descriptor and a fitness function.

• discretise BD space in a grid and fill with best solutions.